SBJ

How Do I

manage blood donors with Streptococcus bovis bacteremia?

Prepared by:

Dr HK Wong, Dr CK Lee

Hong Kong Red Cross Blood Transfusion Service ISBT Working Party on Donors and Donations





- Ms D
 - A regular blood donor in Hong Kong
 - In September 2010, at the age of 56, she gave her 31st whole blood donation
- Her blood was processed into red cells, plasma and platelet and tested according to the institutional SOPs
 - Per routine testing, all platelet would be tested on day 2 under the institutional bacterial surveillance program before issue











- Immediately contacted by BTS's medical staff
- Follow up in a local teaching hospital duly arranged
- Subspecies identification revealed *S. gallolyticus ssp. pasteurianus*





SBJ

- Her cardiovascular system was unremarkable
- But the colonoscopy performed in December 2010 revealed early stage of colorectal adenocarcinoma
- Surgical treatment was given and uneventful
- She remained well afterwards
- Upon the last follow up by the BTS, she informed that
 - repeat colonoscopy was done in April 2011 which showed no abnormal pathology; and
 - her blood CEA level was within normal range



Discussion

- In Hong Kong, the risk of transfusion-transmitted bacterial sepsis has been substantially reduced by a bacterial surveillance program
- However, new problems emerge as asymptomatic bacteremia is now detected in blood donors
- One example is *Streptococcus bovis*, a Gram-positive nonenterococcal group D *streptococcus*
 - shown to be associated with infective endocarditis and colorectal carcinoma which is confirmed in large studies and meta-analysis







Discussion

- Among persons with symptomatic bacteremia by S. gallolyticus ssp. gallolyticus, the risk of colorectal neoplasia was found to be five times higher than sex- and agematched controls
- While most of the reported colorectal carcinoma were associated with this subspecies, we have previously reported that bacteremia from
 - either S. gallolyticus ssp. gallolyticus; or
 - S. gallolyticus ssp. pasteurianus

is closely associated with underlying colorectal pathology



Discussion

- In this connection, irrespective of the subspecies, detection of Streptococcus bovis bacteraemia should warrant a thorough work up for early detection of colorectal adenoma and carcinoma and hopefully improve the clinical outcome
- Given that missing a diagnosis of asymptomatic colorectal carcinoma is disastrous, we recommend that a high index of suspicion is necessary to look for underlying cause of bacteraemia





٥

Recommendation

- All Streptococcus bovis isolates should be sent to a reference laboratory for full subspeciation by sequencing
- The donors should be duly contacted upon confirmation of the culture results under a standard protocol
- They should be referred for thorough investigations
 - Underlying sepsis and infective endocarditis should be looked for; and
 - in particular, a complete colonoscopy evaluation is mandatory irrespective of the result of the subspecies identification
- They should also preferably be given regular contact by the blood service for the long-term outcome





Conclusion

- It is the obligation of the blood service to manage and refer donors for appropriate follow up
- This case on Ms D illustrates the importance of rigorous workup for donors found to have asymptomatic *Streptococcus bovis* bacteremia, as early detection and treatment of colorectal neoplasia may have led to a more favorable clinical outcome







References

[1] Liu HW, Yuen KY, Cheng TS, Lee KB, Chua EK, Ho PL, Lin CK. Reduction of platelet transfusion-associated sepsis by short-term bacterial culture. Vox Sang 1999;77:1-5.

[2] Klein RS, Recco RA, Catalano MT, Edberg SC, Casey JI, Steigbigel NH. Association of Streptococcus bovis with carcinoma of the colon. N Engl J Med 1977;297:800-2.

[3] Mc CW, Mason JM, 3rd. Enterococcal endocarditis associated with carcinoma of the sigmoid; report of a case. J Med Assoc State Ala 1951;21:162-6.

[4] Boleij A, van Gelder MM, Swinkels DW, Tjalsma H. Clinical Importance of Streptococcus gallolyticus infection among colorectal cancer patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2011;53:870-8.

[5] Corredoira-Sanchez J, Garcia-Garrote F, Rabunal R, Lopez- Roses L, Garcia-Pais MJ, Castro E, Gonzalez-Soler R, Coira A, Pita J, Lopez-Alvarez MJ, Alonso MP, Varela J. Association between bacteremia due to Streptococcus gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus (Streptococcus bovis I) and colorectal neoplasia: a case-control study. Clin Infect Dis 2012;55: 491-6.

[6] Kwong TNY, Wang X, Nakatsu G, Chow TC, Tipoe T, Dai RZW, Tsoi KKK, Wong MCS, Tse G, Chan MTV, Chan FKL, Ng SC, Wu JCY, Wu WKK, Yu J, Sung JJY, Wong SH. Association Between Bacteremia from Specific Microbes and Subsequent Diagnosis of Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology. 2018 May 2.

[7] Lee CK, Chan HM, Ho PL, Wong HK, Leung JN, Tsoi WC, Lin CK. Long-term clinical outcomes after Streptococcus bovis isolation in asymptomatic blood donors in Hong Kong. Transfusion. 2013 Oct;53(10):2207-10.

[8]Wong HK, Ho PL, Lee CK. Streptococcus gallolyticus Bacteremia and Colorectal Carcinoma. Gastroenterology. 2019 Jan;156(1):291-292.