

Immunohematology Case Studies 2016 - 8

Susan T. Johnson, MSTM, MT(ASCP)SBB BloodCenter of Wisconsin Milwaukee, WI USA sue.johnson@bcw.edu

Clinical History



- 46 y/o Caucasian male
- Diagnosis of HCV & HIV
- 3 days ago received 2 Leukocytereduced RBCs (LRBC)
 - No antibodies detected at that time
- Hgb 5.6 g/dl, additional LRBCs are ordered for transfusion
- Sent to IRL for work-up because...
 - All RBCs tested in gel & DAT are positive!

IRL Results Antibody Detection Test



| | | Gel IAT |
|-----|----------|---------|
| I | R_1R_1 | 2+ |
| II | R_2R_2 | W+ |
| III | rr | 2+ |



Serum/plasma was "icteric"

Antibody Identification Panel Gel



| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Gel |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|-----|
| | D | С | С | Е | е | K | Fy ^a | Fy ^b | Jk ^a | Jk ^b | S | S | IAT |
| 1 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 2+ |
| 2 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 2+ |
| 3 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | W+ |
| 4 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 2+ |
| 5 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 2+ |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 2+ |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | W+ |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 2+ |
| A | uto | | | | | | | | | | | | 3+ |

The autocontrol is positive

Antibody Identification Panel Gel



Gel

| | - | | - | | | | - | | | _ | | | |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|-----|
| | D | С | с | E | е | К | Fy ^a | Fy ^b | Jk ^a | Jk ^b | S | S | IAT |
| 1 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 2+ |
| 2 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 2+ |
| 3 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | W+ |
| 4 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 2+ |
| 5 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 2+ |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 2+ |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | W+ |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 2+ |
| A | uto | | | | | | | | | | | | 3+ |

The antibody detection and panel results show weaker reactivity with e-negative RBCs

Antibody Identification Panel Test Tube Methods



PEG Saline

| | D | С | С | E | е | К | Fy ^a | Fy ^b | Jk ^a | Jk ^b | S | S | IAT | IAT |
|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|----------------|-----|
| 1 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 1 ^s | 1 |
| 2 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | w | 0√ |
| 4 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 1s | 1 |
| 5 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 1 | W |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 1 | W |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | W | 0√ |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 1 | W |
| Aı | uto | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 2 |

Weaker or no reactivity is observed with e-negative RBCs as the method sensitivity decreases, while the auto remains positive

Direct Antiglobulin Test



| Polyspecific AHG | 4+ |
|------------------|----|
| Anti-IgG | 3+ |
| Anti-C3 | 4+ |
| Control | 0 |

Consistent with the positive autocontrol observed with gel and tube methods, the DAT is strongly positive due to IgG and C3.

Antibody Identification Panel Ficin & DTT Treated RBCs



| | D | С | С | Е | е | K | Fy ^a | Fy ^b | Jk ^a | Jkb | S | S | IAT | IAT |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|---|---|-----|-----|
| 1 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 3 | 1 |
| 5 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 3 | 1 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 3 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| A | uto | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 3 |

The antibody specificity broadens when testing with enzyme (ficin) treated RBCs, consistent with warm autoantibody.

Correlating Clinical History with Serologic Results



- This patient has HCV and HIV
- He was transfused 3 days ago with 2 units of RBCs
 - Pretransfusion testing showed a <u>negative</u> antibody detection test (screen)
- His Hgb is 5.6 g/dl with no signs of bleeding

Correlating Clinical History with Serologic Results



- Now there is an antibody reactive with all panel cells tested showing relative anti-e specificity (weaker or negative with e-negative RBCs depending on the method used)
- His DAT is 4+ with IgG and C3
- Initial review of results would suggest warm autoantibody is coating the patient's RBCs and is spilling over into the patient's plasma.
- However, when correlating this with the patient's history it would be very unusual for a warm autoantibody to develop in only 3 days!

Correlating Clinical History with Serologic Results



- An alternative explanation is the patient is experiencing drug-induced immune hemolytic anemia.
- Rarely, the antibody detection test (screen) may be positive without the addition of drug to the test because the putative drug is circulating in the patient's plasma.
- The following slide lists reports of drugdependent antibodies showing blood group specificity without addition of drug to the test.
- Note: anti-e is quite "common" on this list.

Drug-Dependent Antibodies*

& Blood Group Specificity



| Drug | Antibody Specificity | Reference |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Chorpropamide | Anti-Jk ^a | Sosler, 1984 |
| Rifampicin | Anti-C, Lutheran, I | Ahrens et al, 2002, others |
| Latanoxef | Anti-e | Habibi, 1985 |
| Glafenine | Neg with Ko cells | Habibi, 1985 |
| Teniposide | Anti-e | Habibi, 1985 |
| Piperacillin | Anti-e | Johnson, 2007, Gala, 2009, Arndt, 2010 |
| Tolmetin | Anti-e | van Dijk, 1989 |
| Diclofenac | Relative Anti-e | Ahrens, 2004 |
| Sulindac | Rh (Nonreactive with D), Anti-f | DeCoteau, 1993 Johnson, 2007 |
| Nomifensine | Ant-E | Salama, 1986 |

*Antibodies reactive without drug added

Drug-Dependent Antibodies & Blood Group Specificity



| Antibody Specificity | Drug |
|--------------------------|--|
| Anti-e | Piperacillin, Tolmetin, Teniposide, Latanoxef, Tolmetin, Nabumetone, Sulindac, Teicoplanin |
| Relative Anti-e | Diclofenac |
| Anti-C | Rifampicin |
| Anti-f | Cefotetan |
| Ant-E | Nomifensine |
| Rh (Neg with D) | Sulindac |
| Kell (Neg with Ko cells) | Glafenine, Trimethoprime |
| Anti-Jk ^a | Chorpropamide |
| Lutheran, I | Rifampicin |
| Н | Sulfamethoxazole |

Differentiating DIIHA from AIHA



DAT

- DDA causes strong positive reactivity that decreases when drug is stopped
 - Strength of DAT increases within hours to days and decreases in reactivity when the drug is stopped.
- Warm autoantibody strong positive reactivity persists
 - Strength of DAT increases within days to weeks

Differentiating DIIHA from AIHA



Eluate

- -DDA is negative or weak
- -WAA strongly positive

Serum/Plasma

- DDA disappears within days if drug is discontinued
- -WAA persists





Last

| | | | | _ | _ | _ | - | - | - | - | | | Eluate | | Wash |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|--------|----|------|
| | D | С | С | E | е | К | Fy ^a | Fyb | Jk ^a | Jk ^b | S | S | IAT | | IAT |
| 1 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0√ | | 0√ |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0√ | | 0√ |
| 3 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0√ | | 0√ |
| 4 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0√ | | |
| 5 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0√ | | |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0√ | | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0√ | | |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0√ | | |
| A | uto | | | | | | | | | | | | | NT | |

A negative eluate with a strong positive DAT is consistent with drug-induced immune hemolytic anemia

Patient's Medication History



- •Lorazepam
- Midazolam
- •Morphine
- •Norepinephrine Bitartrate
- •Esomeprazole
- •Fentanyl citrate
- •Levoflox

- Phytonadione
- •Hydrocortisone
- Vancomycin
- Rocuronium
- •Zosyn[™]





- When a patient presents with acute hemolytic anemia and a long list of medications the first step is to review the literature to determine if one of the drugs on the list has been reported to cause DIIHA.
- There are 2 drugs on this patient's drug list that are suspicious!

Patient's Medication History



- •Lorazepam
- Midazolam
- •Morphine
- Norepinephrine
 Bitartrate
- •Esomeprazole
- •Fentanyl citrate
- •Levoflox

•Phytonadione

- •Hydrocortisone
- Vancomycin**
- Rocuronium
- •ZosynTM**

**Vancomycin & Zosyn (piperacillin) have been reported to cause DIIHA

Review Timing & Dosage of Drugs

- Most drug-dependent antibodies develop after previous exposure, much like an antibody to a RBC antigen
- These cases often present after a patient has had periodic, repeated exposure to said drug.
 - DIIHA does not occur in individuals who have been on a medication for years.

| Timing & Dosa Suspected Dru | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Vancomycin | • 1,000 mg for 8 days | | | | |
| Vancomycin | 1,000 mg for another 8 days | | | | |
| Zosyn TM | 2.25 gm 8 days prior to sample | | | | |
| Zosyn TM | 2.25 gm 7 days prior to sample | | | | |
| Zosyn TM | • 3.375 gm 6 days prior to sample | | | | |
| Zosyn TM | • 3.375 gm 12 hours prior to sample | | | | |





- An antibiotic that is a combination of piperacillin and tazobactam
- Most reported cases of DIIHA in patients on Zosyn[™] are due to piperacillin-dependent antibodies
- Piperacillin is in the top 3 of dda's identified today (see next slide)
 - At least 1 fatality has been reported

Cases/Fatalities of DIIHA over 10 years



Blood Reviews 24 (2010) 143–150.

| Drug | Number* | Percentage |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|
| Cefotetan | 36 (4) | 43 |
| Ceftriaxone | 17 (5) | 21 |
| Piperacillin | 14(1) | 17 |
| β-lactamase inhibitors | 6 | 7 |
| Other Cephalosporins | 11 | |
| Others | 9# | 11 |
| Total | 83 (10) | 100 |

* Columns contain number (fatalities) of cases associated with each drug. # Oxaliplatin (3), carboplatin (1), rifampin (1), diclofenac (1), cimetidine (1), sulfamethoxazole (1), and trimethoprime (1).

| Testing in | Presence of | Drug |
|------------|-------------|------|
|------------|-------------|------|



| <u>e+ RBCs</u> | <u>30'RT</u> | <u>60' 37C</u> | <u>IAT</u> |
|--|--------------|----------------|------------|
| Patient Serum + Tazobactam | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Patient Serum + Piperacillin | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Patient Serum + Diluent | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal Serum + Tazobactam | 0 | 0 | 00 |
| Diluent + Drug | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eluate + Piperacillin | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Eluate + Diluent | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eluate + Tazobactam | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Positive Control + Piperacillin | 1 | W | 2 |

Piperacillin-dependent antibody is detected. Patient Serum + Diluent is negative even though initial testing in gel and tubes was positive because the amount of circulating drug is low. Adding diluent creates a 1:2 dilution decreasing both the drug concentration present in the test and the DDA.

Conclusions



- Piperacillin-dependent antibody was detected when testing the patients serum in the presence of drug
- The physician was asked to take the patient off Zosyn[™]
- After 48 hours, there was no evidence of ongoing hemolysis.
- Within 3 days of the cessation of drug therapy the antibody detection test was negative and the DAT decreased in reactivity (2+).

Case Challenges



- In 3 days this patient's pretransfusion antibody detection testing went from negative to positive with everything tested including the autocontrol.
- When faced with a patient whose plasma is positive in the IAT with all cells tested including a strong positive (>3+) autocontrol the initial interpretation is usually warm autoantibody.
- Given the recent transfusion of 2 units, one should consider a delayed hemolytic transfusion reaction but generally the DAT would be weaker positive (<2+). In this case the DAT was 4+.

Case Challenges



- Additionally, the antibody was showing relative anti-e specificity, also consistent with autoantibody vs. alloantibody, especially in an individual of European ancestry. If this patient was of African background one might consider a newly developed partial e antibody although the strong positive DAT would be unusual for an alloantibody.
- The challenge... why was the antibody screen negative 3 days ago and why was the patient showing signs of hemolysis (serum color & drop in Hgb)?

Lessons Learned



- Drug-dependent antibodies can be detected in routine antibody detection testing if the putative drug is present in the patient's circulation.
- The autocontrol and DAT will be strongly positive when the drug is present and will gradually decrease in strength as the drug clears from the patient's circulation.
- Initial serologic results can appear as a warm autoantibody when in fact it is drug-dependent antibody

Lessons Learned



- A negative eluate is key in pointing us toward DIIHA.
- A thorough review of the patient's medication history is important in deciding on what drugs to test.
 - Timing and dosage of drugs must be assessed to determine which drug is most likely causing the problem.
- Do not forget the patient's clinical history in interpreting initial serologic results!

References



- Judd JW, Johnson ST, Storry JR, 3rd ed. Judd's Methods in Immunohematology, Bethesda, MD, AABB, 2007.
- Habibi B. Drug induced red blood cell autoantibodies co-developed with drug specific antibodies causing haemolytic anaemias. Br J Haematol 1985;Sep;61(1):139-43
- Johnson ST, Fueger JT, Gottschall JL. One center's experience: the serology and drugs associated with drug-induced immune hemolytic anemia--a new paradigm, Transfusion 2007;47(4):697-702.
- Fung, MK, Grossman BJ, Hillyer CD, Westhoff CM, eds, Technical Manual, 18th ed. Bethesda, MD, AABB, 2014
- Garratty G, Arndt PA. Drugs that have been shown to cause drug-induced immune hemolytic anemia or positive direct antiglobulin tests: some interesting finding since 2007. Immunohematology. 2014;30(2):66-79.
- Mayer B, Bartolmas T, Yurek S, Salama A. Variability of Findings in Drug-Induced Immune Haemolytic Anaemia: Experience over 20 Years in a Single Centre. Transfus Med Hemother. 2015 Sept;42(5):333-9.
- Arndt PA. Drug-induced immune hemolytic anemia: the last 30 years of changes. Immunohematology 2014;30(2):44-54.
- Garratty G. Immune hemolytic anemia associated with drug therapy. Blood Reviews 24 (2010) 143–150.